

Harmonised approach to Early Feasibility Studies for Medical Devices in the European Union (HEU-EFS)

WP5 Program monitoring system

DELIVERABLE 5.1

Assessing the Performance of Early Feasibility Studies in the EU – Annex VI – Online Form #6













Disclaimer: The Harmonised approach to Early Feasibility Studies for Medical Devices in the European Union (HEU-EFS) project is funded by the European Union, the private members, and those contributing partners of the IHI JU. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the aforementioned parties. Neither of the parties can be held responsible for them.

HEU-EFS_WP5_Form #6_After CE Marking

Start of Block: Default Question Block
--

Form #6 - After CE Marking This online form is designed to collect data f	rom sponsors participating
in the EFS pilot after the CE marking. The data will be used to calculate	performance metrics and
generate a dashboard to monitor the performance of the EU EFS program	. The form will take less
than 15 minutes to complete. You can track your progress using the progress	gress bar. Your responses
will remain confidential, and no individual sponsors or medical device techn	ologies will be identifiable.
Should you have any questions or comments please con-	tact Federico Facciolo
(federico.facciolo@sdabocconi.it) or Francesco Malandrini (francesco.mala	andrini@sdabocconi.it).
Q0 Please enter the 8-digit code identifier you created and inserted in Form	m #1:

Q1 Please enter the exact date the medical device obtained the CE mark using the format DD-MN YYYY (e.g., 01-01-2025)		
Q2 Which of the	he following EU/EEA countries is the medical device being adopted in clinical practice?	
Please check	all that apply.	
	Austria (Austrian Agency for Health and Food Safety (AGES) (1)	
	Czech Republic (State Institute for Drug Control) (2)	
	Denmark (Danish Health and Medicines Authority) (3)	
(ANSM))	France (Agence Nationale de Sécurité du Médicament et des Produits de Santé (4)	
	Germany (Federal Institute for Drugs and Medical Devices (BfArM)) (5)	
	Ireland (Health Products Regulatory Authority) (6)	
	Italy (Ministero della Salute) (7)	
	Netherlands (Health and Youth Care Inspectorate) (8)	
Products)	Poland (Office for Registration of Medicinal Products, Medical Devices and Biocidal (9)	
	Spain (Spanish Agency for Medicines and Medical Devices) (10)	

Q3 Which of	the following non-EU/EEA is the medical device being adopted in clinical practice?
Please check	all that apply.
	United States (FDA) (1)
	UK (MHRA) (2)
	Switzerland (Swissmedic) (3)
	New Zeland (MedSafe) (4)
	Georgia (RAMA) (5)
	Other (6)
	None of the above (7)
Q7 How many	/ HTA recommendations has the medical device received since CE marking?"
Q8 Please inc	dicate the total number of HTA reports submitted or issued for this medical device:
Q12 Were an	y cost-effectiveness studies conducted after CE marking for this medical device?
O No (2)

Q13 Please briefly describe any published economic evaluations and their outcomes:		
		
Q14 Has the medical device been included in a Coverage with Evidence Development scheme in ar country?		
O Yes (1)		
O No (2)		
Q15 Has the medical device received support from any special funding mechanisms after CE markir (e.g., innovation grants, hospital pilot budgets, national programs)?		
O Yes (1)		
O No (2)		
Q16 How many Field Safety Notices (FSN) have been issued for this medical device?		
Q17 How many recalls from the market have been issued for this medical device?		

Paga Broak	
I UGO DIOUN	

End of Block: Default Question Block













